AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial -MIWD (Rev. 10/09) Case 1:12-mj-00078-ESC ECF No. 19 filed 02/24/12 Page ID.27 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Emmanuel Nathaniel Butler Defendant	Case No. 1:12-mj-00078-ESC
	er conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, endant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings o	f Fact
_	he defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S. a federal offense a state or local offense that would xisted – that is	
_	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) which the prison term is 10 years or more.	or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
_	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or	life imprisonment.
_	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	s or more is prescribed in:
_	a felony committed after the defendant had been convict U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local of the conviction of the	
_	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:	
	a minor victim	g - 1-2
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2) T	he offense described in finding (1) was committed while the c	lefendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	r local offense.	
	period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date ffense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	indings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption tha erson or the community. I further find that defendant has not	
	Alternative Finding	gs (A)
(1) T	here is probable cause to believe that the defendant has com	mitted an offense
_	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	s prescribed in:
	he defendant has not rebutted the presumption established brill reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa	
√ (1) T	Alternative Finding here is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	gs (B)
	here is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the saf	ety of another person or the community.
(/	Part II – Statement of the Reas	
	d that the testimony and information submitted at the detention a preponderance of the evidence that:	
	ant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest dete ant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the cou	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	February 24, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge